Frame-Sentiment Dynamics and Evolution in U.S. Climate News: Semi-supervised Machine Learning and Panel Data Analysis

Session: Health and Science Journalism and Institutions

August 10, 2024, 4:30 PM – 6:00 PM

Former Affiliation: Refugee and Immigrant Voices in Action (RIVA)

Byung Wook Kim, Ph.D.

INTRODUCTION

Frames based on climate solutions:

- Internalizing environmental damages into market systems carbon trading, CDM, JI.
- Institution-led market models facilitate cooperation and reduce transaction costs.
- Strong regulations to keep Earth's carrying capacity and human-nature balance.
- Anti-developmentalism and globalization, focusing on society and community.

• Research focus:

- Identify climate frames with different worldviews, suggesting different solutions.
- News sentiment each frame elicits.
- Frame-sentiment dynamics that have changed over time.

Significance of the study:

• Provide insights into which ways of perceiving climate change have gained salience in the media over time and how the process was.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Conference of Parties (COP):

- Emphasis on the carbon trading and offset mechanisms.
- Increasing involvement of **financial institutions**, private sectors, and businesses.
- The **substitution rate** of renewable energy for fossil fuels should be discussed.

Previous studies about U.S. climate change coverage:

- Primarily influenced by the scientific consensus **until 2007** (Shehata & Hopmann, 2012).
- Shifted to a political focus and towards a more polarized stance (Chinn, Hart, & Soroka, 2020).
- Economic costs and a linguistic shift that implies certainty (Stecula & Merkley, 2019).

• Endowment Effects:

- Placing higher perceived values on the "current possession."
- Existing lifestyles and economy systems based on fossil-fuel energy (WTA)
- Sustaining the current lifestyle while adopting new ideas or innovation (WTP)

GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL FRAMES

Classification	Frames	Theoretical Origin	Cause	Solution
Adaptive	Market Liberals	Neoclassical Economics	 Underdeveloped countries Poor governmental policy Market failure	 Restoration of market efficiency Market autonomy
Environmentalism (WTP)	Institutionalists	Liberal Institutionalism	Lack of global governanceLack global cooperation	 Global cooperation Institution-led market model
Transformative Environmentalism	Bio- environmentalists	Ecological Economics	Unchecked market economyHuman-nature imbalance	 Preserving Earth's capacity Regulation
(WTA)	Social Greens	Neo-Gramscian Theories	Developed countriesMulti-national corporationsFinancial institutions	Society and community focusOppose globalization

GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL FRAMES

Classification	Frames	Theoretical Origin	Cause	Solution
Adaptive	Market Liberals	Neoclassical Negative	 Underdeveloped countries Poor governmental policy Market failure	 Restoration of market efficiency Market autonomy
Environmentalism (WTP)	Institutionalists	Positive	Lack of global governanceLack global cooperation	 Global cooperation Institution-led market model
Transformative	Bio- environmentalists	Ecological Negative	 Unchecked market economy Human-nature imbalance	 Preserving Earth's capacity Regulation
Environmentalism (WTA)	Social Greens	Neo-Gramscian Positive	Developed countriesMulti-national corporationsFinancial institutions	Society and community focusOppose globalization

METHODOLOGY

Web Scraping:

- Time Frame: January 1996 December 2023.
- Total: 56,475 news articles, after omitting duplicates and irrelevant articles
 - New York Times: 34,029 articles / Wall Street Journal: 22,446 articles.

Sentiment Analysis:

- Transformer-based model within the pipeline class (superior context-aware).
- An average of **156.06 tokens** per news article.
- Sentiment scores for each news article (Range: 1 and 1).

Guided LDA Topic Modeling:

- Combining headlines and lead paragraphs, a total of **3,534,336 bi-gram tokens** were analyzed.
- Each frame was used as a variable, with its proportion in each article as the value (Range: o and 1).



- Elbow Method (# of Topics: 18)

Step 2: Topic Visualization

- Identify similar topics (based on distance)

Step 3: Matching Topics with Frames

- Examine representative words of similar topics, associating them with the frames.

Step 4: Adding Seed Words

- Conduct LDA Topic Modeling(# of Topics = 4; eta = seed words list)

Step 5: Frames as Variables

- Each topic is set as a variable
- The proportion of each topic in each article is measured as the value.

Step 1: LDA Topic Modeling

- Elbow Method (# of Topics: 18)

Step 2: Topic Visualization

- Identify similar topics (based on distance)

Step 3: Matching Topics with Frames

- Examine representative words of similar topics, associating them with the frames.

Step 4: Adding Seed Words

- Conduct LDA Topic Modeling

(# of Topics = 4; eta = seed words list)

Step 5: Frames as Variables

- Each topic is set as a variable
- The proportion of each topic in each article is measured as the value.

PANEL DATA ANALYSIS

- Monthly Transformation:
 - **Observation:** Each month from 1996 to 2003 (672 observations).
 - Variables: Market Liberals, Institutionalists, Bio-environmentalists, Social Greens, Sentiment, Time, and News Source.
- Frequency of climate news frame use over time (Mixed-Effects Model)
 - Time explaining Frame Use.
 - $NewsFrame_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Time_i + NewsSource_i + e_{ij}$
- Frame-sentiment dynamics over time (Mixed-Effects Model)
 - Frame use explaining news sentiment.
 - Frame-Time interaction, explaining news sentiment.
 - $NewsSentiment_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Time_i + \beta_2 NewsFrame_i + \beta_3 (Time \times NewsFrame)_i + NewsSource_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$

TRENDS IN MEDIA FRAMING OVER TIME

E

- Market Liberals Frame:
 - There is **no significant** trend.
 - However, the monthly mean was the highest compared to other frames and dispersed widely over time.
- Institutionalists Frame:
 - **Increasing trend** (*t*= 13.487, *p* < .01).
 - Growing emphasis on international cooperation and institution-led market mechanisms.
- Bio-environmentalists Frame:
 - **Increasing trend** (t= 13.147, p < .01). Contrary to the initial expectation.
 - Growing emphasis on the roles of international organizations to preserve Earth's carrying capacity.
- Social Greens Frame:
 - **Decreasing trend** (t = -19.512, p < .01).
 - Marginalization of community-driven solutions in media narrative.

SENTIMENT DYNAMICS

• Market Liberals Frame:

- Significant association with **negative sentiment** (t= -4.065, p < .01).
- No interaction effects with time (t = -1.456, p = 0.145).

• Institutionalists Frame:

- Significant association with **negative sentiment** (t= -4.365, p < .01).
- Significant association with **positive sentiment over time** (t= 5.073, p < 0.01).

• Bio-environmentalists Frame:

- Significant association with **negative sentiment** (t= -4.306, p < .01).
- Significant association with **positive sentiment over time** (t= 4.127, p < 0.01).

• Social Greens Frame:

- Significant association with **positive sentiment** (t= 7.500, p < .01).
- Significant association with **negative sentiment over time** (t = -2.191, p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

- Critiques of carbon trading and offset mechanisms (Boyce, 2018; McGee & Greiner, 2019)
 - The cost associated with carbon credits would increase fuel prices, **impacting the Global South more**.
 - Carbon credits generate profits from trading without incurring additional costs.
 - Adding renewable energy might only increase energy consumption without displacing fossil fuels.

• Conclusion:

- The "Institutionalists" frame significantly increased and became associated with positive sentiment over time.
- The "Social Greens" frame significantly decreased and became linked to more negative sentiment over time.

Contributions:

- Provided insights into how climate change frames have evolved in the context of global climate change discourse and political economy.
- Effectively synthesized organically emerging frames (theory-free observation) with theory-driven frames (theory-laden observation) to bridge the gap between data-driven insights and theoretical frameworks.
- Provided a more comprehensive understanding of climate change discourse.



EXTRA TIME

LIMITATION

Lack of theoretical ground

- Expect the "Market Liberals" and "Institutionalists" to become dominant.
- Also, that "Bio-environmentalists" and "Social Greens" to become marginalized.

Implications of emotions embedded in (or induced from) frames

- What does it mean for the audience to see a particular frame (e.g., "Market Liberals") with negative or positive emotions?
- What does it mean for the audience to see a particular frame (e.g., "Market Liberals") that changed from negative to positive emotions, or vice versa? Or when they are reinforced?

• Ideologic differences between newspapers (revised from the original)

- Analyzed with the Mixed Effects Model considering news source as a random effect.
- There was no evidence that the variation in news sources was significant, but the Mixed Effects Model was more stable than the Fixed Effects Model originally used.

```
market liberals seed words = [
     "technology", "market", "investment", "trade", "economic", "global", "industry", "business", "corporate", "bank", "price",
     "financial", "innovation", "entrepreneurship", "efficiency", "capital", "growth", "private", "competition", "investment"
     "commercial", "sustainable", "product", "manufacture", "export", "import", "digital", "startup", "venture",
     "profit", "supply", "demand", "energy", "electric_vehicle", "battery", "factory", "electric", "solar",
     "carbon", "greenhouse_gas", "emission"
institutionalists_seed_words = [
     "cooperation", "negotiation", "agreement", "convention", "protocol", "accord", "compliance", "treaty", "diplomacy",
     "united_nations", "european_union", "summit", "international", "environmental", "policy", "government", "meeting",
     "law", "regulation", "legislation", "federal", "state", "agency", "public", "global", "governance", 'world', "democracy", "administration", "electoral", "council", "bilateral", "sustainable", "enforcement", 'leadership',
     "authority", "jurisdiction", "sanction", "justice", "civic"
bioenvironmentalists_seed_words = [
     "ecosystem", "ecology", "biodiversity", "conservation", "climate", "environment", "wildlife", "habitat", "sustainability",
     "pollution", "organic", "natural", "forest", "ocean", "river", "species", "earth", "water", "air", "soil", "ecological", "bio", "plant", "animal", "recycle", "renewable", "green", "solar_energy", "wind_energy", "biofuel", "deforestation", "tree",
     "wildfire", "drought", "flood", "disaster", "emission", "carbon_footprint", "greenhouse", "sustainable_development"
social_greens_seed_words = [
     "equality", "justice", "community", "activism", "grassroots", "society", "social", "rights", "diversity", "local", "inclusion", "equity", "empowerment", "solidarity", "advocacy", "participation", "democratic", "public_space", "volunteer", "movement", "campaign", "protest", "demonstration", "activist", "nonprofit", "ngo", "volunteerism", "awareness", "education", "outreach", "cultural", "heritage", "tradition", "urban", "rural", "nature", "impact",
     "environmental_justice", "sustainable_living", "climate_action",
texts = df_filter['tokens_with_bigrams'].apply(ast.literal_eval)
```

```
seed_topics = {
    0: [dictionary.token2id[word] for word in market_liberals_seed_words if word in dictionary.token2id],
    1: [dictionary.token2id[word] for word in institutionalists_seed_words if word in dictionary.token2id],
    2: [dictionary.token2id[word] for word in bioenvironmentalists_seed_words if word in dictionary.token2id],
    3: [dictionary.token2id[word] for word in social_greens_seed_words if word in dictionary.token2id],
}
```

dictionarv = Dictionarv(texts)

dictionary.filter_extremes(no_below=30, no_above=0.4)
corpus = [dictionary.doc2bow(text) for text in texts]

def create_eta(seed_topics, dictionary, num_topics):

Check data

In [4]: df.iloc[10000]

- Out[4]: source NYT 2007-02-02 pub date headline The world will need our help when it gets hot ... material News Opinion section lead_paragraph OTTAWA — The Intergovernmental Panel on Climat... OTTAWA — The Intergovernmental Panel on Climat... abstract https://www.nvtimes.com/2007/02/02/opinion/02i... web_url Name: 10000, dtype: object
- Out[5]: 'The world will need our help when it gets hot Opinion International Herald Tribune'

anges, and even less about how we can help affected plants and animals.'

In [6]: df.iloc[10000]['lead_paragraph']

In [5]: df.iloc[10000]['headline']

- Out[6]: 'OTTAWA The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, some 2,500 scientists, issued its fourth report on Friday in Paris. The news is that the fact of rising temperatures is no longer news; even the media has stopped trying to adopt a "balanced" approach to the few remaining skeptics, and politicians are leaping on the green bandwagon. The debate now is how to identify the horrific effects of climate change and what to do about them. In fact, the main c riticism of the IPCC report is that it minimizes effects such as rising sea levels. Of course, the immediate need i s to reduce emissions. Some solutions have been offered for this, from the Kyoto protocols to trading in carbon fut ures. There is also a lot of sensible advice out there, from Al Gore and from your now—green utility company, among others, on what you can do to help. But there has been far less discussion about how to cope with the inevitable ch
- In [6]: df filter.iloc[10000]['text for analysis']
- 'world need help gets hot opinion international herald tribune ottawa the intergovernmental panel on climate change, some 2,500 scientists, issued its fourth report on friday in paris. the news is that the fact of rising tem peratures is no longer news; even the media has stopped trying to adopt a "balanced" approach to the few remaining skeptics, and politicians are leaping on the green bandwagon. the debate now is how to identify the horrific effect s of climate change and what to do about them. in fact, the main criticism of the ipcc report is that it minimizes effects such as rising sea levels. of course, the immediate need is to reduce emissions. some solutions have been o ffered for this, from the kyoto protocols to trading in carbon futures. there is also a lot of sensible advice out there, from al gore and from your now—green utility company, among others, on what you can do to help. but there has been far less discussion about how to cope with the inevitable changes, and even less about how we can help affected plants and animals.'
- In [7]: df filter.iloc[10000]['tokens with bigrams']
- Out[7]: "['world', 'need', 'help', 'hot', 'opinion', 'international_herald', 'tribune', 'ottawa', 'panel_climate', 'chang
 e', 'scientist', 'issue', 'fourth', 'report', 'paris', 'fact', 'rise_temperature', 'long', 'medium', 'stop', 'try',
 'adopt', 'balanced', 'approach', 'remain', 'skeptic', 'politician', 'leap', 'green', 'bandwagon', 'debate', 'identi
 fy', 'horrific', 'effect', 'climate_change', 'fact', 'main', 'criticism', 'ipcc_report', 'minimize', 'effect', 'ris
 e_sea', 'level', 'course', 'immediate', 'need', 'reduce_emission', 'solution', 'offer', 'kyoto_protocol', 'tradin
 g', 'carbon', 'future', 'sensible', 'advice', 'al_gore', 'green', 'utility', 'company', 'help', 'far', 'discussio
 n', 'cope', 'inevitable', 'change', 'help', 'affect', 'plant_animal']"

Pub Date	Market	Institutionalists	Bio	Social Greens	Sentiment	News
I ub Date	Liberals	Histitutionalists	Environmentalists	Social Gicciis	Schiment	Source
1/2/96	0.54048729	0.00559623	0.44476011	0.00915637	0.98695940	0
1/4/96	0.00326501	0.66905022	0.00329302	0.32439172	0.95157981	0
2/9/96	0.00931653	0.00927933	0.00886151	0.97254264	0.96479678	1
4/24/96	0.00759780	0.32624558	0.00742691	0.65872973	-0.99366520	1



Monthly Transformation

Month	Market Liberals	Institutionalists	Bio Environmentalists	Social Greens	Sentiment	News Source
1/96	0.07929708	0.12209417	0.23417042	0.564438337	-0.09021260	0
1/96	0.00931653	0.00927933	0.00886151	0.972542643	0.96479678	1
3/96	0.24471372	0.25904523	0.10950861	0.38673243	-0.3566159	0
3/96	0.18485248	0.19714690	0.00802685	0.60997377	-0.0122900	1

DATA

- Monthly Transformation:
 - **Observation:** Each month from 1996 to 2003 (672 observations).
 - Variables: Market Liberals, Institutionalists, Bio-environmentalists, Social Greens, and Sentiment.

Variables	Mean	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis
Market Liberals	0.3049	0.1222	0.0663	-0.9899
Institutionalists	0.2444	0.0761	0.2087	0.3216
Bio-environmentalists	0.1665	0.0800	0.6108	0.1929
Social Greens	0.2842	0.1051	1.3982	5.0202
Sentiment	-0.2344	0.1778	0.5579	6.0150

- "Market Liberals" were used the most frequently and widely dispersed compared to other frames.
- Bio-environmentalists and Social Greens frames were positively skewed.
- Social Greens frame and news sentiment had a high kurtosis value.

Monthly Distribution of the Proportion of Frames 1.0 Frames Market_Liberals Institutionalists Bioenvironmentalists 0.8 Social_Greens Monthly Average Proportion 0.6 0.2 0.0

Monthly Distribution of News Sentiment 1.00 **News Source** → NYT ₩ WSJ 0.75 0.50 Sentiment Scores 0.25 0.00 -0.25 -0.50 -0.75 -1.00

```
import statsmodels.formula.api as smf
from statsmodels.formula.api import mixedlm
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
data['source'] = data['source binary'].astype('category')
results_by_frames = {}
for frame in ['Market_Liberals', 'Institutionalists', 'Bioenvironmentalists', 'Social_Greens']:
    formula = f"{frame} ~ year_month_int"
    model = mixedlm(formula, data, groups=data["source"])
    result = model.fit()
    results_by_frames[frame] = result.summary()
for frame in results_by_frames:
    print(f"Results for {frame}:")
    print(results_by_frames[frame])
    print("\n\n")
results time frame influence on sentiment = {}
for frame in ['Market_Liberals', 'Institutionalists', 'Bioenvironmentalists', 'Social_Greens']:
    formula = f"transformer sentiment ~ year month int * {frame}"
    model = mixedlm(formula, data, groups=data["source"])
    result = model.fit()
    results time frame influence on sentiment[frame] = result.summary()
for frame in results time frame influence on sentiment:
    print(f"Results for {frame}:")
    print(results time frame influence on sentiment[frame])
    print("\n\n")
```

import pandas as pd

Results for Market_Liberals: Mixed Linear Model Regression Results	Results for Bioenvironmentalists: Mixed Linear Model Regression Results	
Model: MixedLM Dependent Variable: Market_Liberals No. Observations: 672 Method: REML No. Groups: 2 Scale: 0.0056 Min. group size: 336 Log-Likelihood: 770.6908 Max. group size: 336 Converged: Yes Mean group size: 336.0	Model: MixedLM Dependent Variable: Bioenvironmentalist No. Observations: 672 Method: REML No. Groups: 2 Scale: 0.0026 Min. group size: 336 Log-Likelihood: 1032.3429 Max. group size: 336 Converged: Yes Mean group size: 336.0	== ts
Coef. Std.Err. z P> z [0.025 0.975]	Coef. Std.Err. z P> z [0.025 0.9	 975
Intercept 0.312 0.096 3.231 0.001 0.123 0.500 year_month_int -0.000 0.000 -1.322 0.186 -0.000 0.000 Group Var 0.019 0.349		. 23 . 00
Results for Institutionalists: Mixed Linear Model Regression Results	Results for Social_Greens: Mixed Linear Model Regression Results	==
Model: MixedLM Dependent Variable: Institutionalists No. Observations: 672 Method: REML	Model: MixedLM Dependent Variable: Social_Greens No. Observations: 672 Method: REML	

0.0045

Yes

P>|z|

0.000

844.3013

[0.025 0.975]

0.198

0.000

0.170

0.000

Scale:

Coef. Std.Err.

Converged:

0.001

336

336

336.0

0.184

0.000

0.000

Log-Likelihood:

0.007 26.221 0.000

0.000 13.487

No. Groups:

Intercept

Group Var

year_month_int

Min. group size:

Max. group size:

Mean group size:

l_Greens No. Observations: 672 Method: REML No. Groups: Scale: 0.0057 Min. group size: 336 Log-Likelihood: 764.6516 Max. group size: 336 Converged: Yes 336.0 Mean group size: Coef. Std.Err. P>|z| [0.025 0.975] Intercept 0.383 0.046 8.319 0.000 0.292 0.473 year_month_int -0.001 $0.000 - 19.512 \ 0.000 - 0.001 - 0.001$ Group Var 0.004 0.078

0.975]

0.232

0.000

Results for Market_Liberals: Mixed Linear Model Regression Results	Results for Bioenvironmentalists: Mixed Linear Model Regression Results
Model: MixedLM Dependent Variable: transformer_sentiment No. Observations: 672 Method: REML No. Groups: 2 Scale: 0.0265 Min. group size: 336 Log-Likelihood: 245.1712 Max. group size: 336 Converged: Yes Mean group size: 336.0	Model: MixedLM Dependent Variable: transformer_sentiment No. Observations: 672 Method: REML No. Groups: 2 Scale: 0.0272 Min. group size: 336 Log-Likelihood: 236.6428 Max. group size: 336 Converged: Yes Mean group size: 336.0
Coef. Std.Err. z P> z [0.025 0.975]	Coef. Std.Err. z P> z [0.025 0.975]
Intercept	Intercept
Model: MixedLM Dependent Variable: transformer_sentiment No. Observations: 672 Method: REML No. Groups: 2 Scale: 0.0270 Min. group size: 336 Log-Likelihood: 239.1717	Model: MixedLM Dependent Variable: transformer_sentiment No. Observations: 672 Method: REML No. Groups: 2 Scale: 0.0257 Min. group size: 336 Log-Likelihood: 255.4602 Max. group size: 336 Converged: Yes
Max. group size: 336 Converged: Yes Mean group size: 336.0	Mean group size: 336.0
Max. group size: 336 Converged: Yes	3 - 1